# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,379	02/23/2004	Hirokazu Tsunobuchi	3140-010-01	8419	
33432 7	7590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER		
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 53 A EAST LEE STREET			KOYAMA, I	KOYAMA, KUMIKO C	
WARRENTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/784,379	TSUNOBUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep eply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23     2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matter	•			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 20-63 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 20-63 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received.  Ints have been received in Application of the property of the proper	olication No. <u>10/162,853</u> . eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 022304.	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Preliminary Amendment filed on February 23, 2004.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 21-25, 28-56 and 59-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koziol et al (US 5,900,613).

Re claims 21, 25, 33-38, 46-51, 52 and 56: Koziol teaches a trigger that causes to power up and initialize the reader (col 10, lines 45-47) and a decoding routine that decodes the image received by illuminating the target (col 7, lines 30-34 and col 11, lines 10-15). In Fig. 20, the block diagram shows that the image of the target is first decoded using a 1D autodiscrimination and attempts to decode the image at a 1D decoder. If the 1D decoding is not successful, the processor continues and switches to a 2D autodiscrimination and decodes the image at a 2D decoder (col 32, lines 55+). Such disclosure teaches a function switch that executes a function different from that of the trigger means because the processor switches from a 1D decoder to a 2D decoder. Koziol also teaches a read/write random access memory (RAM) 45 and an erasable read only memory (EPROM) 46 that stores programs. The operating parameters, which is an

Art Unit: 2876

operation mode, is stored in the parameter table and these parameter tables are stored in the storage (col 10, lines 50-56). The processor 42 and ASIC 44 are both programmable control devices which are able to receive, output and process data in accordance with these storage devices (col 7, lines 50-56), and such disclosure teaches a previous data resending function because data are outputted and processed. Fig. 7A shows a format for a menu message or word 650, which is produced as a result of the decoding of the menu symbol selected by the user (col 12, lines 45-51). Such word is an arbitrary character string sending function. The word 650 comprises simple commands and specifies a change that is made at a particular part of the parameter table, using specified data (col 13, lines 60-67). Such disclosure teaches a check operation mode. The parameters include a plurality of code parameters for controlling the decoding programs and a plurality of scanning-decoding parameters for controlling the scanning and decoding activities (col 34, lines 24-34). Koziol also teaches a menuing program for changing the parameters of the parameter table and a reprogramming program responsive to a program command generated by a data source (col 34, lines 34-54). Koziol's invention enables a user to determine the current operating mode of an optical reading apparatus, and to rapidly and conveniently change that operating mode to optimize it for operation under the current conditions (col 4, lines 10-16).

Re claim 22, 39 and 53: Koziol discloses an scanning-decoding event initiated by respective signal actuations for a manual trigger (col 5, lines 30-32).

Re claim 23, 40 and 54: As described above, Koziol first performs a 1D decoding process, and if 1D decoding process is unsuccessful, a 2D decoding process is automatically initiated.

Re claim 24, 41 and 55: Koziol teaches a "Repeat Until Done," wherein the decoding process repeats until a successful decode is performed (col 5, lines 35-52).

Re claim 28, 29, 42, 43, 59 and 60: Koziol further teaches a multiple read process 643 as shown in Fig. 6. When the multiple symbols option is enabled, the processor assumes that more than one symbol is present in the image data. The processor loop back to make additional decoding attempts (col 21, lines 49+).

Re claim 30, 35, 44 and 61: The decoding process is repeated looped until the trigger is released. The loop is interrupted by the user's release of the trigger or by a successful decode (col 11, lines 20-35).

Re claim 31, 32, 45, 62 and 63: Koziol also teaches programs relating to diagnostic or test programs (col 20, lines 1-4). The decoding process is repeated looped until the trigger is released. The loop is interrupted by the user's release of the trigger or by a successful decode (col 11, lines 20-35).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 20, 26, 27, 57 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koziol in view of Nishibori (US 5,850,212). The teachings of Koziol have been discussed above.

Koziol fail to teach a pressed time, wherein the pressed time of the function switch is shorter than a predetermined time, the selected function is executed and when the pressed time of the function switch is longer than the predetermined time, the selected operation mode is changed.

Nishibori teaches first functions to detect whether the pressing time of a button of the button input unit 2 is within the predetermined time (or outside the predetermined time) by using the button input detection means. When the pressing time is shorter (or longer) than the predetermined time, the present input mode that is managed by the input mode management means 11 is changed to the next input mode by the input mode switching means 13. And when the pressing time is longer (or shorter) than the predetermined time, the input processing means 14 will carry out such a process that corresponds with the input mode amanged by the input mode management means 11 (col 3, lines 49-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Nishibori to the teachings of Koziol in order to enable an easy operating scanner that is user friendly to the user by providing very easy methods to change the mode without going through complicated programming techniques and technical questions. Such ease of use also provides faster change of modes since the user does not have go into technical details of the scanner.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,379

Art Unit: 2876

Hussey et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,932,862, discloses an optical reader having improved

scanning-decoding features.

Smith et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,992,744, discloses an optical reader having multiple

scanning assemblies with simultaneously decoded outputs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kumiko C. Koyama whose telephone number is 571-272-2394.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kumiko C. Koyama

Kumiko C. Koyama

December 13, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6